| 1 | Meryl Macklin (CA State Bar No. 115053) | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | meryl.macklin@hro.com HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP | |
| 3 | 560 Mission Street, 25 th Floor | |
| | Telephone: (415) 268-2000 | |
| 4 | Facsimile: (415) 268-1999 | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Sun Microsystems, Inc. | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | ASE NO. 3:07-CV-05488-EDL C07-6053 EDL and C08-1641 EDL |
| 12 | 2 1 14111411 | 207-6053 EDL and C08-1641 EDL TIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 13 | 3 v. E | XTENDING DUE DATE FOR REPLY RIEF REGARDING SUN |
| 14 | 4 NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC., | IICROSYSTEMS, INC.'S MOTION TO |
| 15 | £ | COMPEL PRODUCTION OF OCCUMENTS FROM NON-PARTY |
| 16 | | YMANTEC CORPORATION |
| 17 | | Pate: June 30, 2009 |
| 18 | $^{8}\parallel$ | Sime: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: E, 15th Floor Ion. Elizabeth D. Laporte |
| 19 | WHEREAS, on May 22, 2009, Sun Microsystems, Inc. ("Sun") filed a Motion to Compel | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | Production of Documents and Deposition of non-party Symantec Corporation ("Motion to | |
| 22 | Compel"); | |
| 23 | WHEREAS, on June 9, 2009, Symantec Corporation ("Symantec") filed an Opposition to | |
| 24 | Sun's Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Deposition ("Symantec's Opposition Brief"); | |
| 25 | WHEREAS, pursuant to the Northern District Local Rules, Sun's Reply to Symantec's | |
| 26 | Opposition Brief shall be filed no later than June 16, 2009; | |
| 27 | 1 | |
| 28 | Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Due Dates for Briefs and Hearing Date Regarding Sun Microsystems, Inc.'s Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Non-Party Symantec Corporation Sun Microsystems, Inc. v. Network Appliance, Inc. Case No. 3:07-cv-05488-EDL | |

#44827 v1 saf

1 WHEREAS, the hearing on Sun's Motion to Compel Production of Documents and 2 Deposition of Symantec is noticed for June 30, 2009; 3 WHEREAS, Sun has requested an extension of time to June 19, 2009 (i.e., 3 days) for Sun to 4 file its reply in support of it Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Deposition in order to 5 continue its review of the documents (approximately 44,000 pages) that have been recently produced by Symantec and continue its evaluation of the need for its Motion to Compel and related hearing; 6 7 WHEREAS, Sun and Symantec through undersigned counsel are continuing to meet-and-8 confer in good faith regarding the issues raised by the motion. 9 THEREFORE, IT IS HERE BY STIPULATED by Sun and Symantec as follows: 10 Sun's deadline to file its reply in support of it Motion to Compel Production of (1) 11 Documents and Deposition is extended to June 19, 2009. 12 Dated: June 11, 2009 13 14 15 By: s/ Meryl Macklin Meryl Macklin 16 17 18 By: s/ Carisa Yee 19 Carisa Yee 20 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. June 15, 2009 23 24 Unite 25 26 27 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Due Dates for Briefs and Hearing Date Regarding Su 28 Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Non-Part Sun Microsystems, Inc. v. Network Appliance

Case No. 3:07-cv-05488-EDL

HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP Attorneys for Sun Microsystems, Inc. LATHAM AND WATKINS LLP Attorneys for Non-Party, Symantec Corporation IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Elizabeth D. Laport

#44827 v1 saf